

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,364	11/02/2000	Joseph M. Iglesias	480032-312	4745 .
75	90 11/07/2003		EXAM	INER
Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP 500 Newport Center Drive Suite 700 Newport Beach, CA 92660			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	$\sim$
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

restart time ()



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WWW HERTO POV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,364	11/02/2000	Joseph M. Iglesias	480032-312	4745
75	90 11/07/2003		EXAM	IINER .
Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP		BROWN, MICHAEL A		
500 Newport Co Suite 700	500 Newport Center Drive			PAPER NUMBER
Newport Beach, CA 92660		3764		
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

DEC 0 2 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

	108/704364 Toseph +9/esias
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  , expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	t for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 55 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
•	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved □ disapproved.  sted to by the Examiner.  nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  The priority documents have been
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  er)  ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  er)  ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  The priority documents have been  The priority documents have been been been been been been been be
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  The priority documents have been  The priority documents have been been been been been been been be

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.\_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/704,364

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, Claims 1-33; Group II, Claims 34-46, 60-69 and 83-84; Group III Claims 47-55; Group IV Claims 56-59; Group V, Claims 70-76 and 82; Group VII, Claims 77-81, Group VIII, Claims 85-90.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/704,364

Art Unit: 3764

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott Hansen on September 23, 2003 to request an oral 2. election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 3. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 4. should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown September 30, 2003

